



## Decision of the Scientific Research Ethics Committee

no. 3786 of 19.05.2025

The Scientific Research Ethics Committee within the George Emil Palade University of Medicine, Pharmacy, Science, and Technology of Târgu Mureș evaluated from the perspective of observing the ethical norms of scientific research the study proposal entitled

### **“Comparative Analysis of Vasospasm Outcomes Following Clipping vs Coiling in Ruptured Aneurysms: A Retrospective Study”**

Addressed to the Commission on 09.05.2025 by **Tayyab Arshad** position Student, at UMFST G.E. Palade Tg. Mureș.

Following the evaluation of the documents submitted, the Committee decides:

**b) making the following changes to the proposed study and sending the new proposal back to the Committee:**

- In the **Application for approval of clinical research**, the period analyzed for the retrospective study must be mentioned in the the section *Material, method*. The section *Period of the study* must be filled in the format *day/month/year - day/month/year*, and planned after the date of obtaining the favorable opinion of the Research Ethics Committee. The research projects cannot be started before obtaining the opinion of the Research Ethics Committee.
- It's missing the *“consent of the institution of origin of the research subjects”*( *approval from the Hospital Ethics Committee*) for the database that will be used in the study;

President of Scientific Research Ethics Committee

Conf.univ.dr. Orbán-Kis Károly

